

Advocating, advancing, and evaluating quality education in Landscape Architecture

Board Members

Educators Weimin Li, Ph.D., ASLA LAAB Secretary California State Polytechnic University

Daniel H. Ortega, ASLA University of Nevada Las Vegas

Allan Shearer, Ph.D., FASLA University of Texas at Austin

Practitioners

Mark Hough, FASLA

Duke University

Dale Jaeger, FASLA, PLA LAAB Chair-Elect WLA Studio

Juanita Shearer-Swink, FASLA, PLA

Public Representatives
Derrek Niec-Williams
Howard University

Chelina Odbert, Hon. ASLA Kounkuey Design Initiative

David N. Yellen University of Miami School of Law

ASLA Representative William Estes, ASLA, PLA, LEED AP MIG

CELA Representative Roxi Thoren, ASLA, FCELA LAAB Chair Pennsylvania State University

<u>CLARB Representative</u> Christine Anderson, ASLA, PLA Mark Thomas

<u>Director</u> Kristopher Pritchard, Hon. ASLA August 6, 2024

Raffaella Sini Associate Professor and Landscape Architecture Program Director Faculty of Landscape Architecture College of Art and Architecture University of Idaho 875 Perimeter Drive MS #2481 Moscow, ID 83844

Dear Professor Sini,

The Landscape Architectural Accreditation Board (LAAB) at its July 10, 2024, meeting granted accreditation for a period of six (6) years to the course of study leading to the professional MLA degree at University of Idaho. This status is subject to review of an interim report to be submitted by June 1, 2026, together with annual reports and maintenance of good standing.

The interim report should provide an update, with documentation demonstrating compliance, when necessary, on each Recommendation Affecting Accreditation (RAA) from this Final Action Letter in order to demonstrate compliance, or steps towards compliance, with the respective standard. In accordance with LAAB policy, programs have up to two years to resolve their RAA(s). Upon receipt of the two-year Interim Report, the LAAB will accept that the RAA(s) have been addressed or, if not, the program will be given two more years to resolve the issues. A second Interim Report will be due to the LAAB on or before four years from the receipt of this Accreditation Action Letter. If the RAA(s) are not successfully resolved or, in the case of longer-term issues, substantial and verifiable progress has not been made at that time (after four years from this Final Action Letter) then the program may be moved to provisional status, it may be suspended, or in some cases the program's accreditation may be revoked.

Accreditation is awarded on a time-certain basis. The six-year period of accreditation ends June 30, 2030. Accordingly, the MLA program at University of Idaho is next scheduled for a review during the spring of 2030.

In making its decision, LAAB considered the program's self-evaluation report, the visiting team's report, and the program's response to the report. After review of all of this information, LAAB elevated the Consideration for Improvement relative to Standard 7 regarding ADA compliance to a Recommendation Affecting Accreditation reasoning that programs should document their code compliance processes beyond simply describing them in the Self-Evaluation Report. Given the program's response, it appears that these processes are in place and the program should be able to collect written documentation of these processes.

University of Idaho MLA Accreditation Action Letter August 6, 2024 Page 2 of 2

Enclosed is a list of recommendations affecting accreditation (to be responded to in the interim report via the process laid out above) and an Interim Reporting template. This list was developed by LAAB from the materials reviewed during the meeting.

On behalf of the visiting team, I would like to thank you for the hospitality extended to them by the faculty, staff, and students.

Sincerely,

Roxi Thoren, ASLA, FCELA

LAAB Chair

Enclosure

cc: C. Scott Green, President

University of Idaho MLA Program LAAB Meeting July 10, 2024

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendations Affecting Accreditation

1. Written documentation such as a reasonable-accommodation report from the university ADA compliance office and/or facilities or risk-management office will need to be provided for reference (Standard 7).

Landscape Architectural Accreditation Board

Interim Report
Submitted By:

Submission Date:

α			-
· •	Λ£1	α n	
. 7		on	

Name of Institution:

Name of Department:

Name of Program:

Date of Decision Letter:

Section 2.

Recommendation Affecting Accreditation: (Copy/paste verbatim the Recommendation Affecting Accreditation identified in the Decision Letter that is the subject of this Report and attach the Decision Letter. If Decision Letter identified more than one (1) Recommendation Affecting Accreditation, complete an Interim Report for each Recommendation.)

Section 3.

Action Taken by Program: (Describe the action taken by the Program to address the Recommendation Affecting Accreditation identified in Section 2 of this Report. Attach any appropriate documentation that supports the action taken by the Program)

Section 4.

Prior Action Taken by Program: (If applicable, attach any prior Interim Report related to the Recommendation Affecting Accreditation described in Section 2 of this Report)